

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/642,405	NEEPER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Q. Janice Li, M.D.	1632

All Participants:

Status of Application: Appeal Brief filed

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO. (3) ____.

(2) Joanne Giesser, Appl Rep. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 16 May 2005

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Q. JANICE LI, M.D.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon the decision of an appeal conference, the Examiner indicated that if claims are amended limiting the synthetic codon to L1, E1, E2, and E7 proteins of HPV16, the application would be allowable. Ms. Giesser agreed to do so in the form of an Examiner's amendment..